

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/584,904	SAUERMANN, VOLKER
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael Chao	2442

All Participants:

(1) Michael Chao.

(2) _____

Date of Interview: 23 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
Draft amended claim 1 (see attachment)

Prior art documents discussed:
Leinberger, Walser (US 6,031,984)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As prior discussed, applicant responded with a further set of draft claims on 6/17/2009, herein attached. Robert Hails described the embodiment represented in draft claim 1 (6/17/2009), and held the position that the draft claim distinguished over Leinberger and Walser. Examiner maintained that the draft claim 1 would be considered obvious in reference to Leinberger in view of Walser. No agreement was reached. .